

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,258	IOFFE, SERGEY	
	Examiner JOHN B. STREGE	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) JOHN B. STREGE. (3) _____.

(2) CORINA TANASA. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
EXAMINER PROPOSED AN AMENDMENT TO OVERCOME A 101 REJECTION IN VIEW OF BILSKI BY
INCORPORATING A PROCESSOR TO TIE THE INVENTION TO A MACHINE. THE APPLICANT ACCEPTED AND
AN EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT WAS PREPARED..